Case 2:13-cv-02577-TJS Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 1 of 10

SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the ervir deciret sheet. (SEE i	in the control of the telepron the total of					
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS				
GILBERTO FRANCO		NCO FINANCIA	NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff	County of Residence o	LAND INVOLVED.			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	ame, Address, Telephone Number and Email Add	Iress) NOTE: IN LANI				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E	squire	LAND I				
Kimmel & Silverman,	P.C.	Attorneys (If Known)				
30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002						
(215) 540-8888		B				
II. BASIS OF JURISI	OICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES			
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	■ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) P1 Citizen of This State				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State	2			
	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6		
	T (Place an "X" in One Box Only)					
CONTRACT	TORTS PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	OTHER STATUTES ☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury	- ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust		
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce		
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	y 3630 Liquor Laws	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 460 Deportation		
& Enforcement of Judgmen 151 Medicare Act	Slander 368 Asbestos Person 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product	al ☐ 640 R.R. & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs.	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations		
152 Recovery of Defaulted	Liability Liability	☐ 660 Occupational	☐ 840 Trademark	▼ 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans (Excl. Veterans)	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER ☐ 345 Marine Product ☐ 370 Other Fraud	Safety/Health 690 Other	20	☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 810 Selective Service		
☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	Liability 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits ☐ 160 Stockholders' Suits	□ 350 Motor Vehicle □ 380 Other Personal □ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damag	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards e Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	e 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410		
☐ 195 Contract Product Liability☐ 196 Franchise	☐ 360 Other Personal Product Liability Injury	√ 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS PRISONER PETITIO		FEDERAL TAX SUITS	□ 892 Economic Stabilization Act □ 893 Environmental Matters		
☐ 210 Land Condemnation ☐ 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vaca ☐ 442 Employment Sentence	te ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation ☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	☐ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	☐ 443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information		
☐ 240 Torts to Land ☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	Accommodations ☐ 530 General ☐ 544 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty	IMMIGRATION	26 USC 7609	Act ☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
290 All Other Real Property	☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & O		Ī	Under Equal Access to Justice		
	Employment 550 Civil Rights 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition	☐ 463 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee		950 Constitutionality of		
	Other 440 Other Civil Rights	☐ 465 Other Immigration Actions		State Statutes		
	B 440 Outer Civil Rights	rototis				
⋈ 1 Original □ 2 F	State Court Appellate Court	Reopened anoth	ferred from er district f(x) 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1	n iviagistrate		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you	are filing (Do not cite jurisdiction	al statutes unless diversity):	Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACT	ION Brief description of cause: Fair Debt Collection Practices A			<u> </u>		
VII. REQUESTED IN			CHECK YES only	y if demanded in complaint:		
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	/	JURY DEMAND	the second secon		
VIII. RELATED CAS	SE(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER			
Explanation:						
5/10/12	3	/1/ 1				
DATE	SIGNATURE (OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD				
	Sister of the contract of the					

Case 2:13-cv-02577-TJS Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 2 of 10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to appropriate calendar.

Address of Plaintiff: 269 Forest Ave., Brockton, MA	02301
Address of Defendant: 507 Prudential Rd., Horshan	
347.00.077.61.180.380.001.140.380.490.000000000000000000000000000000000	17 111 15011
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:(Use Reverse State Control Contro	ide For Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corp	oration and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.	
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Yes□ No⊠
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	
Case Number: Judge	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions	:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or with	nin one year previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No⊠
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as action in this court?	a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated
	Yes□ No⊠
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any	
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ No⊠
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se	civil rights case filed by the same individual?
	Yes□ No∰
CIVIL: (Place ✔ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:
1. □ Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. □ FELA	2. Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. Assault, Defamation
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. □ Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	6. □ Other Personal Injury (Please specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability
	8. Products Liability — Asbestos
8. □ Habeas Corpus	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. All other Diversity Cases
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)	
ARBITRATION	CERTIFICATION
I, Craig Thor Kimmel , counsel of record do her	opriate Category) reby certify:
	edge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs;	
Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
DATE: 5/10/13	57100
Attorney-at-Law	Attorney I.D.#
NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury of	only if there has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pe	nding or within one year previously terminated action in this court
except as noted above.	
DATE: 5 10 3	57100
CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#
011.00/(3/2012)	

Case 2:13-cv-02577-TJS Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 3 of 10

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

GILBERTO FRANCO		:		
	:	CIVIL ACTION		
v.	:			
	:	NO.		
NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEM	S, INC. :			
	:			
plaintiff shall complete a Cas filing the complaint and serve side of this form.) In the e designation, that defendant sh	se Management Track Is a copy on all defendant went that a defendant all, with its first appear a Case Management Tra	Delay Reduction Plan of this court, countries and Designation Form in all civil cases at the tasts. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the radoes not agree with the plaintiff regarding rance, submit to the clerk of court and serve ack Designation Form specifying the track to	ime of everse g said on the	
SELECT ONE OF THE FO	OLLOWING CASE M	IANAGEMENT TRACKS:		
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases be	rought under 28 U.S.C.	§ 2241 through § 2255.	()	
(b) Social Security – Cases re	equesting review of a do	ecision of the Secretary of Health		
and Human Services deny		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	()	
~	O1	•	` /	
(c) Arbitration – Cases requir	red to be designated for	arbitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	X)	
(d) Ashestos – Cases involvir	no claims for personal i	injury or property damage from		
exposure to asbestos.	ig ciamis for personal i	mighty of property durings from	()	
emposare to assestes.			()	
commonly referred to as	complex and that need	o tracks (a) through (d) that are special or intense management by		
·	de of this form for a de	tailed explanation of special		
management cases.)			()	
(f) Standard Management – Cases that do not fall into any one of the other tracks.				
5 10 13	Craig Thor Kimmel	Plaintiff, Gilberto Franco		
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for		
Date	11cominy at 1am	Timorita ioi		
215-540-8888	877-788-2864	kimmel@creditlaw.com		
Telephone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address		
p				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 GILBERTO FRANCO, 4 Plaintiff Case No.: 5 v. COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR 6 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., JURY TRIAL 7 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 8 **COMPLAINT** 9 GILBERTO FRANCO ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 10 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 11 12 ("Defendant"): 13 INTRODUCTION 14 1. Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"). 16 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 17 2. Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 18 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 19 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 20 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States. 21 3. Defendant conducts business and has its principal office located in the 22 Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; therefore, personal jurisdiction is established. 23 24 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1). 25 - 1 -

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Brockton, Massachusetts 02301 at the time of the alleged harassment.
 - 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road, Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt from Plaintiff.
- 11. The alleged debt, a Sallie Mae student loan, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 12. Beginning in March 2013 and continuing through April 2013, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiff on his home and cellular telephones in its attempts to collect a debt.
- 13. During the relevant period, Defendant contacted Plaintiff between one (1) and three (3) times per day.
- 14. Many times, Defendant would call Plaintiff on his cellular telephone while he was at work. This was an inconvenient time for him to receive collection calls, as he was not able or permitted by his employer to answer such personal calls at work.

- 10
- 12

- 20 21
- 22
- 23 24
- 25

- 15. In those instances where Plaintiff was able to answer Defendant's calls, he would inform the collector on the other end of the line that he was unable to pay the alleged debt.
- 16. In response, Defendant's collectors, on more than one occasion, threatened to take legal action, including garnishing his wages.
- 17. Upon information and belief, at the time these threats were made, Defendant had not instituted any legal action against Plaintiff.
- 18. Also, upon information and belief, no individual reviewed the collection file on behalf of Defendant to determine whether legal action could be taken and/or his wages were subject to garnishment.
- 19. Further, upon information and belief, Defendant did not possess sufficient documentation in the form of necessary proof, to establish a prima facie claim for payment of the alleged debt against Plaintiff.
- 20. Defendant did not intend and/or did not have the legal authority to take the action threatened; rather, it made threats with the purpose of coercing, intimidating, and causing apprehension, trusting that to do so would cause Plaintiff to alter his behavior somehow and make payment on the alleged debt.
- 21. Lastly, within five (5) days of its initial communication with Plaintiff, Defendant failed to send Plaintiff written notification of his rights to dispute the debt and/or request verification as well as informing his of the amount of the debt and the name of the creditor.
 - 22. To date, Plaintiff has not received any written documentation from Defendant.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

COUNT I

- 23. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692d and 1692d(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates § 1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
 - b. Section 1692d(5) of the FDCPA prohibits debt collectors from causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with the intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
 - c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA when it called Plaintiff's home and cellular telephones, multiple times a day, on a daily basis, in attempts to harass Plaintiff in connection with the collection of the debt.

COUNT II

- 24. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §§1692e, 1692e(2)(A) and 1692e(5).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - b. A debt collector violates §1692e(4) of the FDCPA by representing or implicating that nonpayment of any debt will result in the arrest or

imprisonment of any person or the seizure, garnishment, attachment, or sale of any property or wages of any person unless such action is lawful and the debt collector or creditor intends to take such action.

- c. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- d. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e, 1692e(4) and 1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to garnish Plaintiff's wages and to take legal action when it did not intend and/or did not have legal authority to take the action it threatened.

COUNT III

- 25. Defendant's conduct, detailed in the preceding paragraphs, violated 15 U.S.C. §1692g(a).
 - a. A debt collector violates §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send to the consumer, within five days after its initial communication with a consumer in connection with the collection of a debt, a written notice containing: (1) the amount of the debt; (2) the name of the creditor to whom the debt is owed; (3) a statement that unless the consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the debt collector; (4) a statement that if the consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a copy of such verification or judgment will be

mailed to the consumer by the debt collector; and (5) a statement that, upon the consumer's written request within the thirty-day period, the debt collector will provide the consumer with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

b. Here, Defendant violated §1692g(a) of the FDCPA by failing to send written notification, within five (5) days after its initial communication with Plaintiff, advising Plaintiff of his rights to dispute the debt or request verification of the debt or providing his with the name of the original creditor and the amount of the debt.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, GILBERTO FRANCO, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, GILBERTO FRANCO, demands a jury trial in this case.

Case 2:13-cv-02577-TJS Document 1 Filed 05/10/13 Page 10 of 10

DATED: 5/10/13

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By: _

Craig Thor Kimmel
Attorney ID #\57100
Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888 ext. 116

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com